

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/763,163	03/29/2001	Guizeng Shi	L9289.01113 PCT	3541
7590 07/22/2005 Steven Davis Miller & Mosher			EXAMINER PATEL, JAY P	
	2666			
	DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/763,163	SHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jay P. Patel	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/09/2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 24-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 24-26 and 39-43 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 27-38 and 44-46 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2005</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	or the continue copies not receive					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
	77.5-7.5-7.5					

Application/Control Number: 09/763,163

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 24, 39-43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Basu et al. (US 6097733 A).
- 3. In regards to claims 24, 39-40 and 42, Basu et. al anticipates a wireless communication apparatus in figure 1 where mobile terminals 104a-c and base station 102 comprise a communication system.

In further regards, a monitor that monitors, in each frame, the number of transmission queuing cells that uplink storage and downlink storages of a plurality of communication users each store is anticipated by figure 9 step 902 where, the bandwidth allocator monitors multimedia data flow for each mobile terminal that has been allocated multimedia bandwidth. Furthermore, the transmitting data buffer (figure 5, buffer 524) and the receiving data buffer (figure 5, buffer 512) anticipate the uplink and downlink storage means respectively.

In further regards, an allocator that allocates, in each frame, the bandwidth negotiation/management unit 506 in figure 5 anticipates unit sub-slots to the

Application/Control Number: 09/763,163

Art Unit: 2666

transmission queuing cells according to the number of transmission queuing cell in each storage. Furthermore, the bandwidth negotiation/management unit allocates bandwidth in the form of TDMA time slots (see column 2, lines 49-54).

In regards to claim 41, steps 701-706 in figure 7 anticipate the process wherein the communication terminal apparatus reports the number of transmission queuing cells to the base station. At step 701, the base station waits for an access request, at step 702, the access request is validated and at step 704, the nature of the request is examined; i.e. where voice or multimedia bandwidth is requested (see figure 7 and column 12, lines 4-14 and 52-66).

In regards to claim 43, performing a comparison between the total number of particular transmission queuing cells in each storage and a threshold is anticipated by step 912 in figure 9. At step 912, the system determines if a bandwidth surplus has occurred. The surplus is defined to occur when buffer contents are below a threshold for a period of time when there is no communication between the mobile terminal and the base station (see figure 9, step 912 and column 14, lines 36-42). In further regards, the step of performing then allocation according to a result of the comparison is anticipated by step 914 in figure 9. If a surplus occurs at step 912, at step 914, the system deallocates multimedia bandwidth from a particular mobile terminal (see figure 9, step 914 and column 14, lines 42-46). The deallocation process may include a smallest sized segment of a larger sized segments based upon what was previously allocated (see column 14, lines 46-50). Therefore, in essence, the surplus bandwidth is re-allocated.

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Basu et al. (US 6097733 A) in view of Hung et al. (US 6353618 B1).

In regards to claims 25 and 26, Basu et al. teach all the limitations of claim 24 as stated above. Basu fails to teach the limitations of an apparatus allocating the unit sub-slots within a rang that can be stored in a unit frame following a constant regulation and wherein the constant regulation comprises equal allocation of unit sub-slots to the transmission queuing cells in the uplink and downlink storages and that the transmission queuing cells to which the unit sub-slots are allocated are deleted from the storages.

Hung teaches the above-mentioned limitations; in particular Hung teaches the concept of scheduling queued sub-slots onto an outgoing scheduling frame (see figure 2, scheduling frame 18 having a predetermined duration, arbiter 10 and column 6, lines 13-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Basu et al. with those of Hung et al. such that bandwidth is allocated in the form of timeslots within frames

Application/Control Number: 09/763,163

Art Unit: 2666

according to constant regulation. The motivation to combine these teachings would have been to insure the most efficient usage of available bandwidth in the form of timeslots within the fixed length frames, taught by Hung et al. (see column 3 lines, 7-10; where the arbiter must meet QoS and bandwidth requirement).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 27-38 and 44-46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

7. In light of the amendment filed on 02/09/2005, applicant's arguments are moot.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Art Unit: 2666

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Jay P. Patel whose telephone number is

(571) 272-3086. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 am - 5:00

p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

SEEMA S. RAO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Jay P. Patel Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2666